

# Publication Review Form for Faculty Promotion of the College of Engineering (Form 1)

Amended and approved at the 373th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on May 19, 2016 and effective from the fall semester of the 2016 academic year

Amended and approved at the 379th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on March 23, 2017 and effective from the fall semester of the 2017 academic year

Amended and approved at the 381st University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on June 15, 2017 and effective from the fall semester of the 2017 academic year

Amended and approved at the 395th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on October 17, 2019 and effective from the spring semester of the 2019 academic year

Amended and approved at the 398th University Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on March 19, 2020 and effective from the spring semester of the 2019 academic year

Applicant's Name		Current Rank		Rank to be Promoted		
Name of Representative Publication						
Highest Level of Education						
Representative Publications Submitted for Promotion to Previous Ranks						
Publication Name (Paper Title)				Review Date	Rank to be Promoted	Approval or Rejection
Attachment (In addition to the representative publication, self-evaluation (no more than one A4 sheet of paper), a record of awards granted by the Ministry of Science and Technology and other achievements, research project records, catalog of publications, and teaching materials shall be attached)						
<b>Review Area</b>			<b>Result</b>			
1. Please select an option on the right side and outline the reason for your selection (a description of the importance of the journal, publisher, or meeting, along with the content and quality of the research paper) to evaluate the impact and innovativeness of research outcomes achieved by the applicant at the current rank prior to the promotion application.			<input type="checkbox"/> ( Top 10% )	<input type="checkbox"/> ( 11%-20% )		
			<input type="checkbox"/> ( 21%-30% )	<input type="checkbox"/> ( ≥31% )		
			A reason must be provided for the selected option:			
2. Please select an option on the right side and outline the reason for your selection to evaluate the applicant's research competence at the current rank prior to the promotion application.			<input type="checkbox"/> ( Top 10% )	<input type="checkbox"/> ( 11%-20% )		
			<input type="checkbox"/> ( 21%-30% )	<input type="checkbox"/> ( ≥31% )		
			A reason must be provided for the selected option:			

*This form was translated from the original Chinese. In the event of any discrepancies between the two versions, the Chinese always takes precedence.*

<p>3. Please select an option on the right side and outline the reason for your selection to evaluate the professional performance demonstrated by the applicant in his or her peer group.</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> ( Top 10% )                      <input type="checkbox"/> ( 11%-20% )  <input type="checkbox"/> ( 21%-30% )                      <input type="checkbox"/> ( <math>\geq</math> 31% ) </p> <p>A reason must be provided for the selected option:</p>
<p>4. Please select an option on the right side and outline the reason for your selection to evaluate the applicant's academic research outcomes in his or her chosen field of specialization based on the submitted representative publication and reference publications.</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> ( Top 10% )                      <input type="checkbox"/> ( 11%-20% )  <input type="checkbox"/> ( 21%-30% )                      <input type="checkbox"/> ( <math>\geq</math> 31% ) </p> <p>A reason must be provided for the selected option:</p>

5. Overall Result (Please check the corresponding box and provide detailed comments in Form 2)

The applicant's research performance compared to his or her peer group	Excellent (Top 10%)	Great (11%-20%)	Good (21%-30%)	Fair (31%-50%)	Poor ( $\geq$ 51%)
Please check the corresponding box					

Notes:

- Please consider the applicant's ability to conduct research independently.**
- Excellent for the Overall Result can only be selected if the results of Review Areas 3 and 4 are both Top 10%. Poor for the Overall Result can only be selected if the results of Review Areas 3 and 4 are both  $\geq$  31%.

**Involved in plagiarism or other breaches of academic integrity (Please provide specific details in the field of Review Comments)**

**Other Comments:**

Reviewer's Signature:

Date:

Please seal the envelope and send the forms to the dean who shall receive them in person by MM/DD/YYYY.

*This form was translated from the original Chinese. In the event of any discrepancies between the two versions, the Chinese always takes precedence.*

## Publication Review Form for Faculty Promotion of the College of Engineering (Form 2)

<b>Rank to be Promoted</b>		<b>Name</b>		<b>Department/Institute/Degree Program</b>		<b>Foreigner</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Yes</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>No</b>
<b>Name of Representative Publication</b>							
<b>Review Comments (Please provide this form in printed form if possible. A minimum of 300 words is required. If the promotion is not approved, the comments will be provided to the applicant as the basis for administrative acts taken and included in the relevant description. Please comment on the applicant's ability to independently conduct research. Please provide review comments in English for foreign applicants.):</b>							
<b>(Please attach additional sheets if needed)</b>							

*This form was translated from the original Chinese. In the event of any discrepancies between the two versions, the Chinese always takes precedence.*